

Contents

Preface	9
Chapter I	
Legal person's seat in the context of conflict of laws	11
1. Introduction	11
2. Arguments in favour of the interpretation of the term 'legal person's seat' in Article 17(1) of the Private International Law Act of 4 February 2011 as the so-called registered office	14
3. Arguments supporting the interpretation of the term 'legal person's seat' in Article 17(1) of the Private International Law Act of 4 February 2011 as the so-called real seat	21
4. Position regarding the interpretation of Article 17(1) of the Private International Law Act of 4 February 2011 in relation to the EU and EEA Member States	26
5. The seat connecting factor and third states	29
6. Measures mitigating risks for the security of legal transactions arising from the adoption of the registered office connecting factor	34
6.1. Public order clause	34
6.2. A discussion of selected Polish mandatory rules in the area of company law	36
6.3. Special act on pseudo-foreign companies	42
7. Summary	47
Chapter II	
Cross-border transfer of a Polish company's registered office abroad	49
1. Introduction	49
2. <i>De lege lata</i> status of EU law	51
3. <i>De lege lata</i> status of Polish international law	57
3.1. General comments	57
3.2. Preservation of legal personality	58
3.3. Hypothesis on systemic importance of Article 19(1) of the Private International Law Act of 4 February 2011	63
3.4. The moment of the transfer of seat to another state	65
3.5. Another state	67
3.6. Summary	68
4. The <i>de lege lata</i> status of Polish substantive law. An assessment of the compliance of Article 270(2) and Article 459(2) of the Code of Commercial Companies of 15 September 2000 with the freedom of establishment	69

4.1. A brief description of regulations	69
4.2. An assessment of the compliance of Article 270(2) and Article 459(2) of the Code of Commercial Companies of 15 September 2000 with the freedom of establishment	71
5. <i>De lege ferenda</i> cross-border transfer of a company's registered office	82
5.1. Applicability of the directive	82
5.2. Effects of cross-border seat transfer	83
5.3. Management board report and transfer plan	83
5.4. Decision of the shareholders meeting	84
5.5. Verification of legality of the transfer procedure. Registration in the host country.	84
5.6. Protective measures	85
5.7. Employees' participation in managing the company	85
6. Summary	86

Chapter III

Cross-border transfer of the seat of a foreign company to Poland	88
1. Introduction	88
2. The admissibility of cross-border conversion by the law of the emigration state	90
3. Compliance with the requirements of the law of the emigration state	93
4. The adjustment of the legal form of the immigrating company to the requirements of Polish law	95
4.1. Adjustment of the legal form and <i>Anpassung</i>	97
4.2. The lack of equivalence of a foreign notarial form	98
4.3. Adjustment of the company's seat and business name	100
4.4. Adjustment of the company's internal organisation	101
5. Analogous application of Articles 551-584 ¹³ of the Code of Commercial Companies of 15 September 2000	103
5.1. Incorporating entry into the register. Removal from the foreign register	105
5.2. Entry of the foreign company as the legal predecessor of the converted company	106
6. Summary	108

Chapter IV

Judgment by the Court of Justice of the European Union of 25 October 2017 in the case C-106/16 <i>Polbud – Wykonawstwo sp. z o.o., in liquidation</i> and its influence on European company and private international law	110
1. Critical remarks to the opinion of Advocate General Kokott issued in the case C-106/16 <i>Polbud-Wykonawstwo sp. z o.o., in liquidation</i>	110
1.1. Introduction	110
1.2. The extent of applicability of the freedom of establishment.	112
1.3. <i>Polbud Wykonawstwo</i> as a company in liquidation	114
1.4. Restriction of the freedom of establishment	115
1.4.1. Protection of creditors	116
1.4.2. Protection of minority shareholders	119
1.4.2. Protection of employees	120
1.5. Summary	122

2. Judgment of 25 October 2017 – early impressions	123
2.1. Preliminary remarks	123
2.2. Reasoning of the Court: criteria for application of freedom of establish- ment. Setting aside <i>Cadbury Schweppes</i> . Party autonomy with respect to law applicable to companies. Critical analysis	123
2.2.1. Reasoning of the Court	123
2.2.2. Critical analysis	125
3. Reasoning of the Court: incompatibility of Article 270 (2) and Article 459 (2) of the Code of Commercial Companies of 15 September 2000 with the Treaty. Critical analysis	127
3.1. Reasoning of the Court	127
3.2. Critical analysis	128
Bibliography	132
Siedziba spółki w prawie prywatnym międzynarodowym. Zagadnienia wybrane (Streszczenie)	143